Date:

May 23, 2022

To:

John Catanzara, President

Fr:

Daniel Gorman, 2VP

Subj:

History of RDO cancelation grievances

As you know, since the beginning of the FOP, there have been tens of thousands of grievances filed by the Lodge and members. As of date, we have 803 arbitration awards, and hundreds of additional "settlements" which are property of the Lodge and are not made public (for a variety of reasons). The arbitration awards that have been approved to be made public are posted on the Lodge website. If this is approved to be made public, I'd request that a link be put on the website.

Per your request, here is a packet of 21-pages for your review regarding the RDO cancelation grievances. Not only did we file grievances on this issue, but so did the supervisors' unions.

Table of Contents:

Pg 1: To/From with Table of Contents

Pg 2, 3, 4: Grievance 129-21-007 and "Attachment to Grievance No 129-21-007" (This was the first class-action grievance that was filed on May 21, 2021, when RDOs were cancelled department wide for Memorial Day and going into June of 2021. We had requested expedited arbitration and the city agreed. Arbitration was scheduled for August 2021).

Pg 5, 6, 7: Amended grievance 129-21-007 and "Attachment of Grievance No 129-21-007 (Amended)".

(The amendment was a paragraph added to the end and the addition of "Article 20").

Pg 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13: "Settlement" to 129-21-007

(Even though the department's position was that they the right to cancel all RDOs under 20.9 of the CBA, the city expressed that they were interested in arriving at a settlement. We agreed to settlement discussions using Arbitrator Roumell being a 'mediator'. The discussions took 8 full hours, and the discussions were reduced to this "Settlement" under 129-21-007, which was signed by the City on Oct 4, 2021).

Pg 14, 15, 16: Grievance 129-21-017 and "Attachment to Grievance No 129-21-017" (This was the second class-action grievance that was filed on November 16, 2021, when the department cancelled days off department wide).

Pg 17, 18: Grievance 129-21-019 and "Attachment to Grievance No 129-21-019" (This was the third class-action grievance that was filed on November 23, 2021, when the department rescinded their Nov cancelations, but then 42 minutes later, they issued cancelations "until further notice". Requested to be combined with 129-21-017).

Pg 19, 20, 21: Attorney Letter regarding 10-consecutive days.

Status: Testimony lasted 4 days (Feb 9, Feb 17th, March 18, April 19th) with a dozen individuals testifying. Written briefs are due to the arbitrator on June 10th. The arbitrator stated that he may want to have another meeting based on what he reads in the briefs.

-Daniel Gorman, 2VP, Lodge #7

CHIC	GRIEVANCE REPORT CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT (FOP MEMBERS ONLY)			GRIEVANCE NO. 129-21-007		
						
Grievante Name (if more than on i	医闭门 经国际 医多种抗性经验性结合性结合性 化二烷酸医二烷	y in nemative)		Star No. 20275	Date of Cor	ntract Violation K Going
			Zip Cod 6 0607	Date Step 2		
Employee23982	Unit of Assignment/Detail	E-Vali African@chi	cagofop.or	1		937778
Home Phone No.	Cell Phone N	<u></u>	Grievant's Si	gneture		
cancellation about May 14 operating pu effect for the bargaining a "Attachment Of, Neys; one floo an Rea	ce is being filed on the form of RDOs, especially 1, 2021, involving the result to the terms period of July 04, 2 successor agreement of grievance No. 12 21 mm - 27 mm - 27 mm - 31	pehalf of all bargaj around holidays. a Memorial Day Dr of an expired Colle 012 through June ant. Additionally, a 9-21-007.	ning unit n The City is aployment ective Barg 30, 2017.	pembers affersued its most plan. The Cipaining Agreement doc	st recent order ity and the Lodg ement ("CBA") are in the proce ument titled,	y's on or ge are
		Leon Leon	raot Saction(s)	violated:	and	Telated Articles
Immediate Supervisor's Response FOP Class-action grievance. Delivered to Labor Relations on 21May2021.						
immediate Supervisor's Sign	nature D-N-A		.,,,		Date	
Exempt Flank / Unit C.D.'s Response to Grisvance						
Response By - Exempt Rani					Date of Response	
MLAS Notification - Person N	Volified				Date of Notification	

CPD-11.642 (Rev. 2/11)

Grievant's signature on this form authorizes the Fraternal Order of Police to request and receive any discipline files pertaining to this matter that may be in the possession of the City of Chicago.

White Copy - Management and Labor Affairs Section; Green Copy - Management and Labor Affaire Section (To Be Forwarded to Lodge); Canary Copy - Unit Files; Pink Copy - Lodge's Unit Representative; Gold Copy - Grievant Officer CPD-11.642 (Rev. 2/11)

163 of 21

ATTACHMENT TO GRIEVANCE NO. 129-21-007

This Grievance is being filed on behalf of all bargaining unit members affected by the City's cancellation of RDOs, especially around holidays. The City issued its most recent order on or about May 14, 2021, involving the Memorial Day Deployment Plan. The City and the Lodge are operating pursuant to the terms of an expired Collective Bargaining Agreement ("CBA") in effect for the period of July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2017. The Parties are in the process of bargaining a successor agreement.

Section 20.7 of the CBA specifically states (emphasis added):

The Employer's right to assign Officers for duty at any time and at different times during each twenty-eight (28)-day police period remains unrestricted and unchallenged. Watch assignments and designated starting times shall be established and posted for each police period. Watch assignments and designated starting times shall remain in effect for the duration of the twenty-eight (28)-day police period, except for:

**

This Section does not apply in the following situations:

A. The Superintendent and the Mayor have determined in writing that a serious emergency condition exists

Section 20.9 of the CBA requires (emphasis added):

Days off assigned on "change day" shall remain unchanged for the duration of each 28-day police period except for:

A. in-service training (including individualized training) with a maximum of seven (7) programs per year for a maximum of twenty-eight (28) days per year and with seven (7) days' notice to the Officer; or

B. elective training (elective training are job-related programs the Department makes available to Officers and in which the Officer elects to participate); or

C. mandatory proficiency training for employees receiving D-2 or D-2A pay or otherwise receiving specialist or premium pay because of the position or assignment held, with a maximum of twelve (12) programs per year, for a maximum of thirty (30) days per year and with seven (7) days' notice to the Officer; or

D. pre-service training for promotions.

None of these exceptions presently apply.

半

*

Although the Lodge acknowledges the City's right to <u>assign</u> Police Officers on regular day-off status, under the specific circumstances as set forth in Section 20.9 of the CBA, this does not give the City the unfettered right to cancel all Police Officers' RDOs during a summer holiday as it has been doing around major holidays or for an "anticipated" emergency when one does not currently exist. 20.9 States: The Employer's RILHT TO ASSIGN phices.

Clearly, the Department knows that Memorial Day falls on May 31, 2021 this year. Yet it chose to cancel one RDO for the period 21 May through 27 May; all RDOs for the period 28 May through 31 May; and one RDO for the period 1 June through 6 June, 2021. The Department made this schedule change with only seven (7) days' notice. The City regularly has made similar schedule changes for other holidays. The City is keenly aware that summer holidays fall at the same time each year (i.e., Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day). Yet the City cancels RDOs for Police Officers at most a week or even a few days before the scheduled holiday, when most Police Officers already had made commitment for their RDOs, which the City approves every 28 days. Similarly, the City has cancelled and plans to cancel additional RDOs even though the City failed to comply with Section 20.7 requirements. An emergency, which may trigger the City's ability to make City-wide schedule changes, cannot be speculative or anticipatory—it must be imminent and preceded by a written determination by both the Superintendent and the Mayor.

By cancelling RDOs without a written determination by the Superintendent and the Mayor that a serious emergency condition exists and by cancelling RDOs inside the 28-day period, the City violated the CBA by depriving Police Officers of their collectively bargained days off. The Lodge is demanding expedited arbitration, pursuant to Section 9.3(B) of the CBA. The Lodge demands that the City munediately cease and desist from cancelling Police Officers' RDOs outside the 28-day period. Finally, if any Police Officer has been disciplined in any way or has suffered any economic hardship as a result of this mandate, the Lodge demands that any affected Officers be made whole.

Contract Sections Violated: Article 8; Article 20; and all other related Articles.

\$65 .F21



GRIEVANCE REPORT

CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT



GRIEVANCE NO.

	(FOP MEMBERS ONLY)) bann	44.	129-21-00 <i>7 (£</i>	
Daniel Gorman on	one (1) grievant, list separately behalf of all affected	in namative) Lodge 7 members		Star No. 20275	Date of Contract Violation (Suap ভাষ্যGoing
rlevant's Street Address 1412 W. Washi	ngton			Zip Code 60607	Date Step 1 Initiated 21 May 21
mployes No. 23982	Unit of Assignment/Detail	E-Mail Address Og orman@chica			Work Broad No. FAX
ome Phone No.	Celi Phone No		Grievant's Si	My Jum	
		Briefl the re	y state the co	ircumstances giving ris	e to your grievance and
cancellation about May 1 operating pu effect for the bargaining a	ce is being filed on be of RDOs, especially a 4, 2021, involving the arsuant to the terms of period-of-July 01, 20 a successor agreement to grievance No. 129	around holidays. The Memorial Day Depi of an expired Collect Of through June 36 ont. Additionally, sec	ne City is: loyment f tive Barg l, 2017: 1 e the atta	sued its most rec lan. The City and aining Agreemen The Parties are In	ent order on or d the Lodge are t ("CBA") in the process of
		<u> </u>			
	· 	<u></u>			AMEDIAUN KADIHAUN
		Contrac	: Article	ApArticle 8: Art	icle 20and related Articles
Immediate Supervisor's FOP Class-a	Response action grievance. D 1 July 9, 2021)	Delivered to Labor	Relation	s on 21May202	1.
2					
Immediate Supervisor's Si	ignature			Date	
Exempt Rank / Unit C.O.	B-N-A 's Response to Grièvance				
	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
	·				
			· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Response By - Exempt Re	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Date	of Response
MLAS Notification - Person	n Notified			Date	of Notification
D-11.642 (Rev. 2/11)	Grievant's signature on this	form authorizes the Fraternal	Order of Ball	As to request and reaches	any discipline files

PG 6 of 21

ATTACHMENT TO GRIEVANCE NO. 129-21-007 (Amended)

This Grievance is being filed on behalf of all bargaining unit members affected by the City's cancellation of RDOs, especially around holidays. The City issued its most recent order on or about May 14, 2021, involving the Memorial Day Deployment Plan. The City and the Lodge are operating pursuant to the terms of an expired Collective Bargaining Agreement ("CBA") in effect for the period of June 1, 2012 through May 31, 2017. The Parties are in the process of bargaining a successor agreement.

Section 20.7 of the CBA specifically states (emphasis added):

The Employer's right to assign Officers for duty at any time and at different times during each twenty-eight (28)-day police period remains unrestricted and unchallenged. Watch assignments and designated starting times shall be established and posted for each police period. Watch assignments and designated starting times shall remain in effect for the duration of the twenty-eight (28)-day police period, except for:

This Section does not apply in the following situations:

A. The Superintendent and the Mayor have determined in writing that a serious emergency condition exists

Section 20.9 of the CBA requires (emphasis added):

Days off assigned on "change day" shall remain unchanged for the duration of each 28-day police period except for:

- A. in-service training (including individualized training) with a maximum of seven (7) programs per year for a maximum of twenty-eight (28) days per year and with seven (7) days' notice to the Officer; or
- B. elective training (elective training are job-related programs the Department makes available to Officers and in which the Officer elects to participate); or
- C. mandatory proficiency training for employees receiving D-2 or D-2A pay or otherwise receiving specialist or premium pay because of the position or assignment held, with a maximum of twelve (12) programs per year, for a maximum of thirty (30) days per year and with seven (7) days' notice to the Officer; or
- D. pre-service training for promotions.

PG 7 of 21

None of these exceptions presently apply.

Although the Lodge acknowledges the City's right to <u>assign</u> Police Officers on regular day-off status, under the specific circumstances as set forth in Section 20.9 of the CBA, this does not give the City the unfettered right to cancel all Police Officers' RDOs during a summer holiday as it has been doing around major holidays or for an "anticipated" emergency when one does not currently exist.

Clearly, the Department knows that Memorial Day falls on May 31, 2021 this year. Yet it chose to cancel one RDO for the period 21 May through 27 May; all RDOs for the period 28 May through 31 May; and one RDO for the period 1 June through 6 June, 2021. The Department made this schedule change with only seven (7) days' notice. The City regularly has made similar schedule changes for other holidays. The City is keenly aware that summer holidays fall at the same time each year (i.e., Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day). Yet the City cancels RDOs for Police Officers at most a week or even a few days before the scheduled holiday, when most Police Officers already had made commitment for their RDOs, which the City approves every 28 days. Similarly, the City has cancelled and plans to cancel additional RDOs even though the City failed to comply with Section 20.7 requirements. An emergency, which may trigger the City's ability to make City-wide schedule changes, cannot be speculative or anticipatory—it must be imminent and preceded by a written determination by both the Superintendent and the Mayor.

By cancelling RDOs without a written determination by the Superintendent and the Mayor that a serious emergency condition exists and by cancelling RDOs inside the 28-day period, the City violated the CBA by depriving Police Officers of their collectively bargained days off. The Lodge is demanding expedited arbitration, pursuant to Section 9.3(B) of the CBA. The Lodge demands that the City immediately cease and desist from cancelling Police Officers' RDOs outside the 28-day period. Finally, if any Police Officer has been disciplined in any way or has suffered any economic hardship as a result of this mandate, the Lodge demands that any affected Officers be made whole.

DOITION DG

Further, by engaging in the conduct described <u>supra</u>, the City has arbitrarily and capriciously mandated overtime work (in the form of cancellation of RDOs in combination with 12-hour workdays) from Chicago Police Officers department-wide without reasonable notice, thereby imposing substantial health and safety risks to Officers, in violation of Article 4.

Contract Sections Violated: Article 4; Article 8; Article 20; and all other related Articles.

40DITION OG

PG8 of 21

BEFORE ARBITRATOR GEORGE T. ROUMELL, IR.

In the Matter of the Arbitration Between:

FRATERNAL CHICAGO LO	ORDER OF POLI DGE NO. 7,	CE,	}	
-and-	Union,)))	nici Gorman, on behalf of all
	CAGO, DEPARTM	ENT) #11	octed Lodge 7 members 90 Cancellations)
Consolidated wit	Employer.) G r	ievance No. 129-21-007
POLICEMEN'S	BENEVOLENT A ASSOCIATION, ergenuts), ergenuts),) } }	
and	Unions		Gri	evance Nos. SGTS 545-21-033 LTS 546-21-011 CPTS 548-21-002
; ;	AGO, DEPARTME Employer.	ini	7 } . Grie })))	want: All Affected Members

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

Whereas, the Fraternal Order of Police, Chicago Lodge No. 7 ("FOP"), filed the above-captioned grievance on May 21, 2021 (amended July 9, 2021), complaining that the Chicago Police Department ("Department") was violeting the collective bargaining agreement ("Agreement") by issuing Deployment Orders in anticipation of certain holidays, which Orders cancelled officers' regular days off ("RDOs") and implemented 12-hour workdays; and

2043040

Whereas, the Policemen's Benevolent & Protective Association, Unit 156-A ("Sergeants") filed Grievance No. 545-21-033 on or about May 29, 2021 (amended on or about June 24, 2021 and again on or about July 8, 2021), complaining that the Order for the 2021 Memorial Day Deployment, issued on or about May 14, 2021, violated its collective bargaining agreement ("Agraement"), by cancelling RDOs and implementing 12-hour workdays; and

Whereas, the Policemen's Benevolent & Protective Association, Unit 156-B ("Lieutenants") filed Grievance No. 546-21-011 on or about May 29, 2021 (amended on or about August 20, 2021), similarly complaining that the 2021 Memorial Day Deployment Order violated its collective bargaining agreement ("Agreement") by cancelling RDOs and implementing 12-hour workdays; and

Whereas, Policemen's Benevolent & Protective Association, Unit 156-C ("Captains") filed Crievance No. 548-21-002 on or about May 29, 2021 (amended on or about July 9, 2021), similarly complaining that the 2021 Memorial Day Deployment Order violated its collective bargaining agreement ("Agreement") by cancelling RDOs and implementing 12-hour workdays; and

Whereas, on or about June 21, 2021, the Sergeants, Lieutenants, and Captains filed Case
No. L-CA-21-051 ("ULP") with the Illinois Labor Relations Board, alleging that the Department
violated its statutory bargaining obligation in issuing the complained of Deployment Orders; and

Whereas, the Department denies that any of the Deployment Orders issued in 2021 violated the Agreement(s) of the FOP, Sergeants, Lieutenants, or Captains (collectively, "Unions") or that it violated any of the Agreements when it cancelled RDOs and implemented 12-hour workdays; and

1:

Whereas, the Parties agreed to consolidate the various grievances, selected Arbitrator George T, Roumell, Jr. ("Arbitrator"), and scheduled a hearing to be conducted on August 26 and 27, 2021; and

Whereas, on August 26, 2021, the Parties met and in mediation assisted and overseen by the Arbitrator, reached certain agreements which the Parties now desire to incorporate in a formal document ("Settlement Agreement"),

Now, therefore, the Unions and the Department agree as follows:

- The provisions of this Settlement Agreement shall apply to the following Holiday Depleyments in 2022: Memorial Day, Juneteenth/Father's Day; Independence Day; and Labor Day. It will not apply to officers (for purposes of this Settlement Agreement, the term "officers" is inclusive of all employees of the Department represented by the Unions) on 4th or 5th watch status or in specialized units.
- 2) No less than twenty-eight (28) days before the effective date of deployment, except where operational needs preclude doing so, for each of the four Holidays above, the Department will send an Anticipatory Notice apprising officers of the then-anticipated deployment needs. It is understood that this Notice is anticipatory and subject to change. The Notice shall be communicated to the official email accounts of efficers. In addition, an AMC message will be sent and will be required to be read at roll call, if applicable. As part of the Notice, officers will be offered the opportunity to volunteer to work on days during the scheduled deployment they are not scheduled to work (e.g., RDO or furlough). In the event of a need for additional manpower for the Deployment, the Department will first utilize the officers who volunteered to work pursuant to the Anticipatory Notice.

- No less than fourteen (14) days in advance of the scheduled Deployment, the Department will send (via email and AMC message) an Effective Notice, containing the then-anticipated length of the Deployment, whether RDOs will be cancelled, which units will be subject to the Deployment, and whether 12-hour workdays will be implemented. It is understood that this Notice is subject to change in order to respond to operational needs that were not anticipated.
- If the stipply of such officers who volunteered pursuant to Paragraph 2 is not sufficient, the Department may cancel RDOs. If RDOs are cancelled, the Department will make a reasonable effort to maintain the normal (8.5 hours or 10-hours) schedule of RDO-cancelled officers and assign them as needed, which could be 3rd watch, while in cancelled RDO status. The Department will use reasonable efforts to provide that an RDO-cancelled officer who works 3rd watch on his or her second RDO has a minimum of nine (9) hours between the next tour of duty:
- Officers represented by the FOP who have had both RDOs cancelled pursuant to one of the Deployments referenced above will receive priority treatment of time due requests submitted pursuant to the negotiated time due MOU between the City and the FOP. This priority will extend through the first full police period following the Deployment.
- 6) Officers whose RDOs are being cancelled pursuant to the Deployment will be given the opportunity to find another officer on furlough to work in their stead.
- 7) The Department will continue the practice of giving good faith consideration to officers who request to retain their RDO where good cause is shown. An officer whose request is denied by his or her exempt commanding officer may appeal the denial to the appropriate Deputy Chief, whose decision shall be final.

- The Parties agree that the provisions of Paragraphs (1) through (7) above constitute a Pilot Program, to be effective through Labor Day of 2022. If, during the term of this .Pilot Program, any Union believes that the provisions of this Settlement Agreement have been violated, it may file a grievance which may be processed in an expedited manner, to be heard by the Arbitrator, who shall retain jurisdiction for this purpose throughout the term of the Pilot-Program.
- The Effective Notice referenced herein shall not require officers to work more than ten (10) consecutive days, unless in good faith, at the time of the Effective Notice, the Department reasonably concludes that it requires officers to work longer than ten (10) consecutive days.
- Except as expressly provided to the contrary herein, the above-captioned gricvances are deemed resolved pursuant to this Settlement Agreement and are withdrawn with prejudice, except only as may be necessary to enforce the specific provisions of this Settlement Agreement. Further, the Sergeants, Lieutenants and Captains agree to withdraw the ULP referenced above.
- 11) . The Arbitrator's cancellation fee will be split between the Parties (on a onethird/one-third/one-third basis).
- This Settlement Agreement contains the entire agreement between the Unions and 12) the Department.

Wherefore, the Unions and the Department, by their duly authorized representatives, have executed this Settlement Agreement on the date(s) specified below.

TERNAL ORDER OF POLICE, CHICAGO LODGE 2

CITY OF CHICAGO, DEPARTMENT OF POLICE

2943040.1

Date:	7-23-21	Date:
POLICE	MEN'S BENEVOLENT & CTIVE ASSOCIATION, UN	IT 156-A
By:	Jam To Calit	
Date:	21 SER 2621	•
	*	
	MEN'S BENEVOLENT & TIVE ASSOCIATION, UNI	Т 156-В
Dates	1/21/21	
POLICEM PROTEC	AEN'S BENEVOLENT & TIVE ASSOCIATION, UNIT	Г 1 <i>56</i> -С
By:		
Date:	22 Sep 21	



GRIEVANCE REPORT

CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT



GRIEVANCE NO.

ievant's Name (If more than one (1) grievant. list seperately Daniel Gorman on behalf of all affected lievant's Street Address 1412 W. Washington	E-Mail Artifian @chicagofop.or	Star No. 20275 Zip Code 60607 Work Phone No.(Belf)	Date of Contract Violation (%PROPING - going Date Stap 1 Initiated 16Nov21			
ievant's Street Address 1412 W. Washington	E-Mail Artifian @chicagofop.or	Zip Code 60607	(PERCEPTION-going			
			Date Step 1 Initiated 16Nov21			
nployes No. 2 Unit of Assignment/Detail		Work Phone No.(Bell)				
2002		F	W 3412793379/98 X			
ome Phone No. Cell Phone No.	Grievant's S	gnature	MI -			
	Briefly state the o	circumstánces giving r	iee to your grievence and			
In May of 2021, the Lodge filed a grievance (129-21-007) disputing the cancellation of members' regularly. scheduled days off (RDOs) among other violations of the CBA (copy of grievance 129-21-007 attached). On August 26, 2021, the parties met and with the assistance of Arbitrator George T. Roumell, Jr., the parties reached a settlement agreement (copy of "Settlement Agreement" is attached). On or about November 8, 2021, the city issued a Department Order via AMC message, cancelling the first of members' RDOs on November 12, 13, 14 of 2021 (see attached document titled "Attachment to 129-21-017"). FIRST ROO NOV (2, 13, 14)						
	Contract Section(s)	Vlojated:	and related Articles			
Immediate Supervisors Response FOP Class-action grievance. D	elivered to Labor Relation	ıs on 16Nov202	 21.			
D-N-A immediate Supervisor's Signature		Dat	ie			
Exempt Rank / Unit C.O.'s Response to Grisvance			· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
#			******			
Response By - Exempt Rank, Signature, Star No.		Da	e of Response			
MLAS Notification - Person Notified		Dat	te of Notification			

PC 15 of 21

ATTACHMENT TO CLASS ACTION GRIEVANCE NO. 129-21-017

The City and the Lodge are operating pursuant to the terms of an expired Collective Bargaining Agreement ("CBA") in effect for the period of June 1, 2012 through May 31, 2017. The Parties are in the process of bargaining a successor agreement.

Section 20.7 of the CBA specifically states (emphasis added):

The Employer's right to assign Officers for duty at any time and at different times during each twenty-eight (28)-day police period remains unrestricted and unchallenged. Watch assignments and designated starting times shall be established and posted for each police period. Watch assignments and designated starting times shall remain in effect for the duration of the twenty-eight (28)-day police period, except for:

This Section does not apply in the following situations:

A. The Superintendent and the Mayor have determined in writing that a serious emergency condition exists

Section 20.9 of the CBA requires (emphasis added):

Days off assigned on "change day" shall remain unchanged for the duration of each 28-day police period except for:

A. in-service training (including individualized training) with a maximum of seven (7) programs per year for a maximum of twenty-eight (28) days per year and with seven (7) days' notice to the Officer; or

B. elective training (elective training are job-related programs the Department makes available to Officers and in which the Officer elects to participate); or

C. mandatory proficiency training for employees receiving D-2 or D-2A pay or otherwise receiving specialist or premium pay because of the position or assignment held, with a maximum of twelve (12) programs per year, for a maximum of thirty (30) days per year and with seven (7) days' notice to the Officer; or

D. pre-service training for promotions.

None of these exceptions presently apply.

Although the Lodge acknowledges the City's right to assign Police Officers on regular day-off

pg 16 of 21

status, under the specific circumstances as set forth in Section 20.9 of the CBA, this does not give the City the unfettered right to cancel all Police Officers' RDOs without any justifications other than an "anticipated" emergency or an increase in crime on any given weekend.

By cancelling RDOs without a written determination by the Superintendent and the Mayor that a serious emergency condition exists and by cancelling RDOs inside the 28-day period, the City violated the CBA by depriving Police Officers of their collectively bargained days off. The Lodge is demanding expedited arbitration, pursuant to Section 9.3(B) of the CBA and for Arbitrator Roumell to hear this dispute. The Lodge demands that the City immediately cease and desist from cancelling Police Officers' RDOs outside the 28-day period, especially around the weekends.

Additionally, the City's most recent actions are in violation, at a minimum, of the spirit of the Settlement Agreement reached between the Parties in Grievance No. 129-21-007, thereby not only violating the contract, but also implicating serious safety concerns and the disruption caused to Officers by short-notice cancellations of RDOs. What the Department continues to do to Police Officers by regularly canceling RDOs is wrong, dangerous, contrary to the Consent Decree, and, a violation of the intent, spirit and past practice of the Parties' contract.

Finally, if any Police Officer has been disciplined in any way or has suffered any economic hardship as a result of this mandate, the Lodge demands that any affected Officers be made whole.

Contract Sections Violated: Article 4; Article 8; Article 20; and all other related Articles, as well as the Settlement Agreement in Grievance No. 129-21-007.

16 17 of 21



GRIEVANCE REPORT

CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT (FOP MEMBERS ONLY)



GRIEVANCE NO.

129-21-019

12 4	(OT MEMBER O OITE)	~~	Column ale			
arlevent's Name (If more than Daniel Gorman on	one (1) grievant, list separately behalf of all affected	in namative) Lodge 7 members	er - er er bliende (det er tilb) (Ster No. 20275	Date of Contract Violation (Suspension Nov. 21	
arievant's Street Address 1412 W. Washi		 +		Zip Code 60607	Date Step 1 Initiated 23Nov21	
Employe 23982	Unit of Approprient/Detail	E-Mail Address n@chic) Work P299399 4748)	
iome Phone No.	Cell Phone No	·	Grievant's Si	anglish dhum	1	
		Brie	fly state the cremedy that y	rcumstances giving	rise to your grievance and	
	 Misserials and College (Lorentz, Age Those the Control College) 	Constitution of the Consti	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	aw again		
	,	-				
See Attached Doc	ument titled, "Attachi	ment to Class Action	Grievano	e #129-21-019"	-	
The Lodge is requ	esting this grievance t	o be combined with	grievance	#129-21-017 aı	nd the Settlement	
NOTES TO LETHON	21-907. NOV "U, RDO NOV 12-1 40 NOEMAR 10 UNTIL FUR:	3,14 KESGIN VOV 2311.	reb		. 	
ONE-R	De yant tur	HARL N.OTIBE	N.D.Y3.16	, 202d		
				4: 8: 20. 23.6	, and all others	
	·	Conti	unt Cantion(n)			
			act Section(s)	Violated:	and related Articles	
Immediate Supervisor's Response						
FOP Class-action grievance. Delivered to Labor Relations on 23Nov2021.						
	D-N-A	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~		<u></u>		
Immediate Supervisor's Si	gnature		-		Pate	
Exempt Rank / Unit C.O.'s Response to Grievance						
	· 				,	
Response By - Exempt Ra					Date of Response	
MLAS Notification - Person	n Notified		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Date of Notification	
3D 44 C40 (Day, 0/44)	Orlando etalente esta de la la	t 15 - 15 - 15	10 1 10			

P6 18 of 21

Attachment to Grievance #129-21-019

In May of 2021, the Lodge filed a grievance #129-21-007 disputing the cancellations of member's regularly scheduled days off (RDO's) among other violations of the CBA. On August 26, 2021, the parties met and with the assistance of Arbitrator George T. Roumell, Jr., an agreement was reached.

HARLE MIONE LUNTIL FURTHER NOTICE! On or about November 8, 2021, Sgt Victoria Marzullo "on behalf of Eric Carter", issued an AMC message cancelling the <u>first of member's RDOs</u> on November 12, 13, 14 of 2021. On or about November 13, 2021, Carter then extended cancellations "until further notice". There still was no written determination that an emergency existed. On November 16, 2021, the Lodge filed grievance #129-21-017. The arbitrator was contacted, and the parties received a tentative arbitration date in January of 2022.

Return -> to Norman

On November 22, 2021, at 1:45PM, despite the pending arbitration date, officer Carter issued AMC message stating, "Effective 1st watch, 23-Nov-21, the Department will return to normal operations with regular days off.". This relief only lasted 42 minutes!! At 2:27PM, Carter issued another AMC, thereby cancelling "ONE RDO" "until further notice beginning 26-Nov-21". Again, this was done without a declared "emergency", without notification to the Lodge, without seeking volunteers, without 21-days' notice, without justification, etc.

Not only is the department's (Carter's) method of cancelling members' much deserved, and much needed time off cruel, absurd, unsafe, unhealthy, and wrong; it also seems antagonistic, malicious and without consideration for those who are affected. Additionally, department's (Carter's) practice does not promote a harmonious work environment and is contrary to the Consent Decree.

The department continues this practice without fear of consequences. The affected officers demand to be compensated at a rate of "Triple time" (three times the regular pay) for every hour worked on their cancelled RDO. The affected officers further demand and order the department to provide each affected officer one additional day-off to be enjoyed in 2022 for every cancelled RDO that the affected member worked 5 hours or more.

Contract Sections Violated: Article 4; Article 8; Article 20; Section 23.6; and all other related Articles as well as the Settlement Agreement in Grievance #129-21-007.

Pc 19.0+21

BAUM SIGMAN AUERBACH & NEUMAN, LTD.

Attorneys and Counsellors

Letterhead electronically generated

200 W. Adams Street, Suite 2200 Chicago, IL 60606-5231 312.236.4316 Fax 312.236.0241

Pasquale A. Fioretto
Admitted in IL and WI

Direct dial 312-216-2566 pfioretto@baumsigman.com

May 20, 2022

VIA E-MAIL: Magdalena.Garrison@chicagopolice.org
Sgt. Magdalena Garrison
Labor Relations Division
Chicago Police Department
3510 South Michigan Avenue
Chicago, IL 60653

Re: City of Chicago and Fraternal Order of Police, Lodge No. 7

Daniel Gorman, on behalf of all affected Lodge 7 members

Grievance No. 129-21-007

Our File No. 29516

Dear Sgt. Garrison:

As you are aware, the undersigned is one of the attorneys for the Fraternal Order of Police, Chicago Lodge No. 7 ("Lodge"). Your earlier email sent this morning to Lodge Field representative Jim Jakstavich has been sent to me for a formal response.

The Settlement Agreement raised by Jim was signed by the Parties to resolve the "Summer Holiday Class Action Grievances," and contains a Pilot Program (for the Memorial Day, Juneteenth/Father's Day, Independence Day, and Labor Day 2022 holidays) with several components.

Paragraph 2 specifically states:

No less than twenty-eight (28) days before the effective date of deployment, except where operational needs preclude doing so, for each of the four Holidays above, the Department will send an Anticipatory Notice apprising Officers of the then-anticipated deployment needs. It is understood that this Notice is anticipatory and subject to change. The Notice shall be communicated to the official email accounts of Officers. In addition, an AMC message will be sent and will be required to be read at roll call, if applicable. As part of the Notice, Officers will be offered the opportunity to volunteer to work on days during the scheduled deployment they are not scheduled to work (e.g., RDO or furlough). In the event of a need for additional manpower for the Deployment, the Department will first utilize the Officers who volunteered to work pursuant to the Anticipatory Notice.

There is no mention of any exceptions above.

BAUM SIGMAN AUERBACH & NEUMAN, LTD.

Attorneys and Counsellors

Letterhead electronically generated

Sgt. Magdalena Garrison May 20, 2022 Page 2

Paragraph 3 goes on to explain the City's obligation as the enumerated holidays approach and requires the Department to inform and notify Officers as follows:

No less than fourteen (14) days in advance of the scheduled Deployment, the Department will send (via email and AMC message) an Effective Notice, containing the then-anticipated length of the Deployment, whether RDOs will be cancelled, which units will be subject to the Deployment, and whether 12-hour workdays will be implemented. It is understood that this Notice is subject to change in order to respond to operational needs that were not anticipated.

Once again, there is no mention of any exceptions.

Paragraph 9, which you partially cite in your email, in its entirety reads as follows:

The Effective Notice referenced herein shall not require Officers to work more than ten (10) consecutive days, unless in good faith, at the time of the Effective Notice, the Department reasonably concludes that it requires Officers to work longer than ten (10) consecutive days.

There is no evidence that the Department's current requirement that Officers work longer than ten (10) consecutive days is a "good faith" requirement. Outside entities have provided repeated notices to the Department that overworking Officers is dangerous to the Officers and the citizens of Chicago. In addition, the Superintendent and Mayor repeatedly publically state they are actively working to improve Officers' working conditions. These facts support the conclusion that the Department's requirement that Officers work over ten (10) consecutive days has been instituted with the Department's full knowledge of the harm it is causing, is in bad faith, and is a violation of the Settlement Agreement.

Indeed, when the Parties negotiated the entire Settlement Agreement, and this provision specifically, the Lodge and PBPA made it clear that "an increase in crime" does not amount to a basis to have Officers work more than ten (10) consecutive days. I have included several people who were present in the negotiations in this letter. Rather, several examples were given, which included exigent circumstances such as another riot or civil unrest (as Chicago experienced in May 2020), a NATO summit, or a sports championship victory (similar to the Bulls Championship in the 1990s).

High crime, sadly, is now a normal, ongoing occurrence in the City. Crime exists each and every day—not only around the holidays and weekends. Other solutions exist (perhaps hiring more police Officers). The solution is not to work Officers more than ten (10) consecutive days. Real data exists which shows the harm to Officers (emotionally, physically and psychologically), not to mention the harm to citizens, if Officers are over-worked without ample time to rest and decompress.

PC 21 of 21

BAUM SIGMAN AUERBACH & NEUMAN, LTD.

Attorneys and Counsellors

Letterhead electronically generated

Sgt. Magdalena Garrison May 20, 2022 Page 3

In the Report issued by the Court Appointed Monitor in the Consent Decree matter, after the civil unrest, she opined:

Whether a Department member's days off may be cancelled, hours extended, or area of deployment shifted invariably impacts his or her mental, emotional, and physical well-being. The Department relies on its field supervisors and police Officers to make instinctual, split-second decisions and going forward, it cannot allow things like exhaustion and stress to impact decision-making.

Indeed, even the Mayor has commented on the need for Officers to obtain adequate rest. In sworn testimony, she stated:

...one of the things that I am a champion for is making sure the department does a heck of a lot more and a better job around Officer wellness. Well, you can't be well if you don't have time to recover from one of the most tough and traumatic jobs that there are, which is to be a police Officer in an urban environment like Chicago.

Lhope this clarifies the Lodge's concerns with the Department forcing Officers to work longer than ten (10) consecutive days. If the Department does not remedy these concerns, the FOP will explore bringing this to Arbitrator Roumell's attention to address the City's latest actions. Please let me know if you wish to discuss further.

Very truly yours,

BAUM SIGMAN AUERBACH & NEUMAN, LTD.

Pasquele a. Fioretto

Pasquale A. Fioretto

PAF/i

cc:

John Catanzara (via e-mail)

Jim Jakstavich (via e-mail)

Daniel Gorman (via e-mail)

Kevin O'Bryan (via e-mail)

Commander Donna Rowling (via e-mail)

Dave Johnson (via e-mail)

Jennifer A. Dunn (via e-mail)

Catherine Chapman (via e-mail)

I:\FOP\Cancellation RDOs\Expedited Arb\Garrison 05.20.22 paf.cmc.j.wpd